

# Utah CGP-Guidance Activities Action Plan (Large Group) 2004-2005\*

Develop this plan at the beginning of the school year and include a copy with the Results Report due to USOE by June 15, 2005

chool Grantsville Middle District Tooele

arget Group:(whole school, entire class) 7<sup>th</sup> Grade Students

arget Group selection is based upon the following data/information/school improvement goals: Improve the academic performance of students in econdary schools.

Intended Student Behavior	Identify the Utah CGP Student Outcome or the Desired Result for Student Learning	Activities to be Delivered in What Manner?	Resources/Staff Development Needed	Evaluation Methods How will you measure results? e.g. "From sample classrooms of tenth graders. . ."	Start/End Dates	Projected # of Students Impacted
7 <sup>th</sup> Grade student will learn in a specific curriculum that will help them to be academically successful.	Students will effectively learn language arts.	A semester curriculum that includes one term of keyboarding and one term of reading development.	Selection of teachers.  Selection of curriculum and teaching materials.  Scheduling students  Orientation of school staff	A random ten percent sample of 7 <sup>th</sup> grade students will be evaluated to determine if there has been improvement.	2004-05 school year: 08-23-05 through 06-03-05	All 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade students.



**ENTERED**

Kathy Davis  
Principal's Signature

08-19-04  
Date

May 2007  
Date of Staff Presentation

Sylvan E. Jacobson  
Prepared By

adapted from the ASCA National Model: A Framework for School Counseling Programs

# School Grantsville Middle District Tooele Due to USOE June 15, 2005: may be submitted in other formats but include all information as required below. Guidance Activities Report (Large Group) 2004-2005\*

Counselor	Target Group	Curriculum and Materials Used	Start Date End Date	Process Data: Number of students affected**	Perception Data: Pre and post test, competency attainment or student data**	Results Data: changes in behavior, grades, attendance including achievement data, achievement related data, and/or skills/competency data**	Implications: What does the data tell you? What can the student do with this now?
Sylvan E. Jacobson	7 <sup>th</sup> Grade students	Keyboarding text and web sight. Computer lab and portable laptop lab.  Reading texts and individually selected reading material.	1 <sup>st</sup> Semester 08-23-04 through 01-17-05.  2 <sup>nd</sup> Semester 01-18-05 through 06-03-05.  About 1/2 of the students in each.	256 - 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade Students.	Student CORE CRT Testing results in Language Arts proficiency in 7 <sup>th</sup> Grade compared with that in 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade.	In the sample group - 26 randomly selected 7 <sup>th</sup> grade students - 62% improved Reading Comprehension scores.	Although all students benefited from the reading, focus should be on those students reading <u>below</u> grade level. A semester or full year class will be created for these.  Students acquired good keyboarding skills. This segment will continue for all students along with a term of Learning Enhancement where students will learn good study habits, etc.

[Signature]  
 Principal's Signature

Adapted from the ASCA National Model: A Framework for School Counseling Programs

Date 06-08-05

Date of Staff Presentation 06-02-05

Prepared By Sylvan E. Jacobson  
 \*\*Include actual numbers and attach data, examples and documentation

# CORE CRT TEST RESULTS

## LANGUAGE ARTS – READING COMPREHENSION

<u>Sample</u>	<u>6<sup>th</sup> Grade</u>	<u>7<sup>th</sup> Grade</u>	<u>Change</u>
1	56.6	63.3	+ 6.7
2	62.6	36.7	- 25.9
3	78.7	76.3	- 1.7
4	96.0	100.0	+ 4.0
5	61.0	62.0	+ 1.0
6	96.0	96.3	+ 0.3
7	91.0	91.3	+ 0.3
8	91.0	89.3	- 1.7
9	43.0	50.0	+ 7.0
10	83.0	84.0	+ 1.0
11	91.0	89.7	- 1.3
12	78.0	86.0	+ 8.0
13	65.0	49.2	- 15.8
14	48.0	65.3	+ 17.3
15	52.0	58.7	+ 6.7
16	35.0	58.7	+ 23.7
17	57.0	84.0	+ 27.0
18	96.0	96.3	+ 0.3
19	52.0	15.3	- 36.7
20	83.0	39.7	- 43.3
21	91.0	87.7	- 3.3
22	61.0	73.7	+ 12.7
23	43.0	20.7	- 22.3
24	57.0	64.0	+ 7.0
25	65.0	83.0	+ 18.0
26	74.0	67.7	- 6.3

# Utah CGP-Closing the Gap Action Plan (Small Group) 2004-2005\*

Develop this plan at the beginning of the school year and include a copy with the Results Report due to USOE by June 15, 2005

School Grantsville Middle District Tooele

Target Group: Selected Special Education (Resource)/Handicapped Students

Target Group selection is based on the following data/information/school improvement goal: The school resource department request that resource students, especially those assigned a paraprofessional, have a Peer Tutor assigned to give the student extra individual help.

Intended Student Behavior	Identify the Utah CGP Student Outcome or the Desired Result for Student Learning	Guidance Activity(ies) or Intervention(s)	Resources/Staff Development Needed	Evaluation Method How will you measure results? e.g. "From sample classrooms of tenth graders. . ."	Start/End Dates	Projected # of Students Impacted
Selected Special Education/Resource students will have an improved learning environment. They will be individually assisted by Peer Tutors.	All students will have the opportunity to learn and develop skills that will help them be successful in life.	Peer Tutors will individually work with a Resource student(s) one period each school day in and out of the classroom. They will assist the paraprofessional under the direction of Resource staff.	Selection of Resource teacher to direct the program.  Orientation and training of Resource staff and paraprofessionals.  Selection of Peer Tutors.  Training of Peer Tutors. Three training sessions during a common period during the school day.	Academic growth of Resource Students determined by comparison of second semester grades with first semester grades.  Observation of any behavior changes.  Consider the academic growth of Peer Tutors as well.  Peer Tutor Evaluation Form  Recommendations from Resource staff.	2 <sup>nd</sup> Semester 01-18-05 through 06-03-05	6-8 Resource Students  6 Peer Tutors



**ENTERED**

Keith L. Davis

Principal's Signature

01-04-05

Date

01-04-05

Date of Staff Presentation

Sylvan E. Jacobson

Prepared By

Adapted from the ASCA National Model: A Framework for School Counseling Programs

# Utah CGP- Closing the Gap Results Report (Small Group) 2004-2005\*

Due to USOE June 15, 2005: may be submitted in other formats but include all information as required below.

School Grantsville Middle District Tooele

Counselor	Target Group	Curriculum and Materials	Start Date End Date	Process Data: Number of students affected**	Perception Data: Pre and post test competency attainment or student data**	Results Data: changes in behavior, grades, attendance, including achievement data, achievement related data, and/or skills/competency data**	Implications: What does the data tell you? What can the student do with this now?
Sylvan E. Jacobson	6 Resource students, to which paraprofessionals are assigned, needing extra individual help with their learning.	Peer Tutor program guidelines.  Orientation materials for Resource staff and Peer Tutors.  Peer Tutor Log books, Contracts, and Report Forms  Subject textbooks and supplies	2 <sup>nd</sup> Semester 01-18-05 through 06-03-05	6 Resource Students 6 Peer Tutors	Peer Tutors helped their assigned Resource student(s) learn during one period each school day.	4 of 6 Resource students improved their GPA  4 of 6 Peer Tutor's GPA improved.  All involved considered the program to be mutually beneficial.  Paraprofessionals were able to get required "break" periods in their work schedule.	Resource students and Peer Tutors all benefited from this program.  The Peer Tutoring program will be expanded next year with more students serving as Peer Tutors and more Resource students being served.

Kathy L. Davis  
Principal's Signature

06-07-05  
Date

06-02-05  
Date of Staff Presentation

Sylvan E. Jacobson  
Prepared By

## G.P.A. COMPARISONS

SUBJECT	1st Sem	2 <sup>nd</sup> Sem	Change
Student A	2.89	2.71	- .28
Student B	3.78	3.38	- .40
Student C	3.55	3.59	+ .04
Student D	3.59	3.74	+ .15
Student E	1.81	2.22	+ .41
Student F	3.96	3.96	+ .00
Peer Tutor A	3.67	3.62	- .05
Peer Tutor B	3.55	3.68	+ .13
Peer Tutor C	2.92	3.55	+ .63
Peer Tutor D	3.30	3.50	+ .20
Peer Tutor E	4.0	3.86	- .14
Peer Tutor F	2.42	3.41	+ .99



Student \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

## PEER TUTOR EVALUATION

Time Served: (✓ weeks) 3<sup>rd</sup> Term 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 4<sup>th</sup> Term 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Please give a brief description of your assignment(s):

---

---

---

---

---

What did you enjoy most about being a Peer Tutor?:

---

---

---

---

---

How did the students you were assigned to benefit from you tutoring them?:

---

---

---

---

---

What suggestions would you make to improve the Peer Tutoring Program?:

---

---

---

---

---

# Utah CGP–Guidance Activities/Curriculum Mapping Action Plan 2004-2005\*

School Tooele Junior High School District Tooele County School District

Target Group:(whole school, entire class) Entire 8<sup>th</sup> Grade Class

Target Group selection is based upon the following data/information/school improvement goals: Our intention was to meet with every 8<sup>th</sup> grade student to review grades, career interests and individual student's school goals.

Intended Behavior/Result	Utah CGP Student Outcome or Desired Result for Student Learning	Activities to be Delivered in What Manner?	Resources/Staff Development Needed	Evaluation Methods (How will you measure results?)	Start/End Dates	Projected # of Students Impacted
Students will use strategies to achieve future academic goals and improve their study skills for future academic success.	Students were expected to set a specific learning goal. (example, to improve grades) Students were expected to list steps they were going to take to achieve their goals. (example, do an hour of homework and go to tutoring classes twice a week)	We met with small groups of 8 <sup>th</sup> graders to review the goal setting activities. Later on we met with the same students individually to revise and set new goals.	Resources were obtained at our Comprehensive Guidance Workshop	Measured results were kept for all 8 <sup>th</sup> grade students through their transcripts.	January 13, 2005 through June 1, 2005	489 students

Kendall M. Topham  
Principal's Signature

6-3-05  
Date

Faculty Mtg. Jan.  
Staff Presentation

K Bitters  
Ana Gonzalez  
Prepared By

ENTERED

## Utah CGP– Individual Planning: SEOP Closing the Gap Results Report 2004-2005

School Tooele Junior High School

District Tooele County School District

Counselor	Target Group	Curriculum and Materials	Start Date End Date	Process Data (Number of students affected)	Perception Data (Pre and post test competency attainment or student data**)	Results Data (How did the student change as a result of the lesson or activity)	Implications (What do the data tell you?)
Term Meetings Classroom Presentations Individual Conferences	Remediation students who have four or more F's from 7 <sup>th</sup> grade.	Strengthening of Study Skills  Where There's A Will There's and A	August 2004 through June 2005	39 students	Individual student transcripts	Overall improvement of GPA  Some of the students improved so much that they earned the privilege of participating in the promotion ceremony will all F's made up.	Data tells us that there have been several students who have improved behavior, for example there have been changes in number of assignments turned in, therefore improved grades. Students will have a better chance of being successful at the high school.

Kendall M. Toopham  
Principal's Signature

6-3-05  
Date

Fac. Mtg Oct.  
Date of Staff Presentation

K. Bittner  
Lana Gonzalez  
Prepared By

# WHAT IS YOUR DREAM?

Student: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Grade: 8<sup>th</sup>

**Career Interests:** What do you see yourself doing after high school, college or some training for a job? What is your dream job?

1. Lawyer
2. Mechanic
3. Marines

**What are some of your interests: hobbies, favorite activities or subjects?**

Math, Paintballing, Basketball, Football

**Current School Goals:** What would you like to accomplish in school this year? This can be related to grades, attendance, behavior, relationships etc.

All A's, More H's

**What is your Specific Goal?** Example: Get good grades - get a 3.0.

Get a 4.0

**What can you do to accomplish this goal? Be specific!** Example: ½ hr. of homework each day, stay after school for tutoring twice a week etc.

1. Study every day
2. Read
3. Do homework
4. Turn in Assignment
5. Go to tutoring

**Parent Attend**

X  
**Yes**

**No**

# HOW'S IT GOING?

**Student:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Grade:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Parent Y/N** \_\_\_\_\_

**How have you progressed on your goal for school from our first meeting?**

☐ Improved      ☐ Stayed the same      ☐ Declined

**How much did you improve or decline? What helped or hindered your progress toward this goal?**

---

---

---

**New or Revised School Goals:** If you accomplished your first goal, what else would you like to accomplish in school this year? If you have not accomplished your goal how would you like to revise this goal? (Be specific! Example: Get a 3.0, etc.)

---

---

---

**What can you do to accomplish this goal? Be specific! Example: ½ hr. of homework each day, stay after school for tutoring twice a week etc.**

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_
4. \_\_\_\_\_

**After receiving some career lessons in class, have your Career Interests changed?**

☐ Yes (a lot)      ☐ Yes (a little)      ☐ No

**Career Interests:** What are the career areas that you are most interested in?

1. \_\_\_\_\_
2. \_\_\_\_\_
3. \_\_\_\_\_

**What are some steps that you can take to get to your career interests? (Start with what you can be doing now).**

- |          |          |
|----------|----------|
| 1. _____ | 4. _____ |
| 2. _____ | 5. _____ |
| 3. _____ | 6. _____ |

# Utah CGP– Individual Planning: SEOP Closing the Gap Action Plan 2004-2005

School Tooele Junior High School District Tooele County School District

Target Group: Remediation Students

Target Group selection is based on the following data/information/school improvement goal: We have continued working with failing students from last year's 7<sup>th</sup> grade to this year's 8<sup>th</sup> grade, to help them improve their grades.

Intended Behavior	NCD Guideline, Student Competency, ASCA Standard, Developmental Asset, Standard and Objective, Desired Result for Student Learning	Activity to be Delivered in What Manner?	Resources/Staff Development Needed	Evaluation Method (How will you measure results?)	Start/End Dates	Projected # of Students Impacted
Improve grades and learn how to set educational goals	Effective learning habits and skills. Individual strengths and weaknesses in school subjects. Plan of Action for increasing educational skills. Continued learning enhances the ability to achieve goals.	The use of daily planners. Individual term meetings Educational goal setting and study skills Optional weekly tutoring. Make referrals for Boys and Girls Clubs	Review student Handbooks which include the planner notebook with planner pages. Counselor reviews mid terms with students. Mid terms are sent home for parent signatures. Staff training on planner use, goal setting and study strategies.	Comparison of remediation student's report cards from last year to measure improvement.	August 2004 through June 2005	39

Kendall M. Topham  
Principal's Signature

6-3-05  
Date

Fac. Mtg Oct.  
Date of Staff Presentation

Anna Gonzalez  
Prepared By

ENTERED

# Utah CGP– Guidance Activities/Curriculum Mapping Results Report 2004-2005\*

School Tooele Junior High School

District Tooele County School District

Counselor	Target Group	Curriculum and Materials	Start Date End Date	Process Data (Number of students affected)	Perception Data (Pre and post test competency attainment or student data**)	Results Data (How did the student change as a result of the lesson or activity)	Implications (What do the data tell you? What can the student do with this now?)
Small Groups and Individual Conferences	8 <sup>th</sup> grade class	Information and materials were obtained from our Comprehensive Guidance Workshop. Goal Setting Worksheet and also The Dream Assembly	Start January 13, 2005 through June 1, 2005	489 students affected	Low Achievement reports were used.	There was a slight improvement from students who had failed from 2 <sup>nd</sup> term to 3 <sup>rd</sup> term. Next year instead of just measuring only the failing students we want sampling of all students which will include the passing students to get an overall sampling of student's improvement.	The data told us that there wasn't that much of an improvement if we are only collecting data for students who fail.  The students will be able to continue to set goals for themselves and know some steps of how to achieve their goals.

Kendall M. Topham  
Principal's Signature

6-3-05  
Date

Faculty Mtg. January  
Date of Staff Presentation

L. Bitter  
Prepared By